ON THE ECONOMIC APPROACH TO NATURAL HAZARDS MANAGEMENT: WHAT ECONOMICS CAN ADD TO COMMON TECHNICAL KNOWLEDGE ON HAZARD-RISK BINOMIAL IN ENGINEERING DISCIPLINES

> P. DIAZ SIMAL and S. TORRES ORTEGA ETS der Ingenieros de Caminos Universidad de Cantabria

> > PEDRO DIAZ SIMAL & SAUL TORRES ORTEGA

#### Who we are?

- \* Economics vs. Engineering , what a strange couple , or not?
- \* Are we in fashion?
- \* Modeling previous or understanding the future?
- \* Mr. Roberts quote:
  - Core subjectsThreadsIDENTITYINTERDISCIPLINARY
  - \* Further reading

FUTURE?

### The problem

- \* Increasing risk awareness
- \* Need to create a conceptual framework
- \* Different contributions from diferent areas
  - Geography
  - \* Economics
  - Environmental sciences
- \* Need to clarify concepts to share knowledge.

# Natural risk management as emergent topic

Diverse approache technical/economi	S CS	Areas of Concern	
<ul> <li>Increasing degree of awareness</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Diversity of variables</li><li>Diversity of time</li></ul>	Sustainability	
<ul> <li>Increasing concern</li> <li>Shortage of resources</li> <li>Diversity of sources</li> </ul>	horizons	Climate Change	

#### Some Theoretical reflections

- 1. Framework: basic elements included in the analysis.
  - 1. Flows
  - 2. Controls
  - 3. Stocks
  - 4. Attributes
- 2. Theories
  - 1. Identify and set priorities among relevant elements
  - 2. Solve specific questions
  - 3. Fix proposals for assumptions
- 3. Specific models introduced to represent each case study

### Two different frameworks

	DPSIR		PSR
FLOWS STOCKS	<ol> <li>1 Drivers: different drivers to</li> <li>2 Pressures: demands raised</li> <li>3 States: pollution externali</li> <li>4 Impacts: loss of quality</li> <li>5 Responses: recombination</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Shock will exist</li> <li>Different pathways</li> <li>Final consequences on each receptor.</li> </ol>	
CONTROLS	<ol> <li>General system of feedbacks</li> <li>Reassignment of resources and functions</li> </ol>		1Physical process 2Probabilistic impact - response
ATTRIBUTES	<ol> <li>1 Heterogeneity</li> <li>2Decomposability</li> <li>3 Predictability</li> <li>4 Extent in space and time</li> <li>5 Resilience-vulnerability</li> <li>6Productivity</li> </ol>	Resilience Vulnerability: 1 Homeostasis 2 Omnivory 3 High Flux 4 Flatness 5 Buffering 6 Redundancy	<ol> <li>Hazard – Exposure</li> <li>Susceptibility</li> <li>Vulnerability</li> <li>Resilience</li> <li>Adaptive capacity</li> </ol>

## Six theoretical approaches

	Evolutionary economics Holling (1973)	Institutional economics	Entitlement theories Sen (1976)	Ecological economics	Risk Management	Natural hazard and Catastrophes analysis
Basics	4 steps	Social	The economic	The role of	Risk	1 Risk hazard
assumptions	Evolutionary	Framework,	and social	nature as	management	probability
	paths	Governance	conditions	provider of	decisions	quantification
	The adaptive	Agents	make the	services as	(adaptation	2Expected
	process that	perception	difference	part of the	mitigation,	damage
	rules human	concern and		available	assumed	
	and natural	awareness		capital	damage)	
	evolution as					
	subject of the					
	analysis					

## Theories for vulnerability analysis

	Evolutionary economics	Institutional economics	Entitlement theories	Ecological economics	Risk Management	Natural hazard and Catastrophes analysis
Sources of vulnerability	1. Evolutionary paths 2 Long Term States	<ol> <li>Weakness of the decision framework</li> <li>Perception of the problems and risk</li> <li>Quality of the governance structure</li> </ol>	1 Poverty 2 Ability to choose	1. Anthropic pressures. 2Carrying Capacity	1 Risk management decisions (adaptation mitigation, assumed damage)	<ol> <li>1 Risk hazard probability quantification.</li> <li>2Expected damage</li> </ol>
Scale and temporal path of the analysis	1 Long Term scale 2 Social micro-scale (Incentives)	<ol> <li>High scale resolution to identify vulnerable areas.</li> <li>Low scale indicators to include aggregate characteristics of a society</li> <li>Long term temporal scale.</li> </ol>		1 High scale resolution to identify ecosystem units	<ol> <li>Long term periods for capturing trends in natural events.</li> <li>High space resolution to capture spatial differences.</li> </ol>	
Available information	Qualitative information on evolutionary and adaptive capacity.	<ol> <li>Aggregate economic data,</li> <li>Distributive equity,</li> <li>Governance and transparence,</li> <li>Quality of social and human capital</li> </ol>		<ol> <li>1 Biodiversity</li> <li>2Resilience</li> <li>3 Evolutionary</li> <li>4 Primary</li> <li>production</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 Physical data on the present functions</li> <li>2 Previsions on path evolution of climate parameters</li> </ul>	
Capacity to produce a synthetic indicator.	Projected trends	<ol> <li>1 GDP</li> <li>2 Wealth</li> <li>Distribution.</li> <li>3 Governance</li> <li>indicators</li> <li>4 HDI</li> </ol>	1 Sen's Poverty Index	1 National Accounts environmentally adjusted 2 Happiness indexes	Expected damage (\$)	Level of risk (probability)

#### As a final reflection

- \* Different theoretical approaches
- \* Different conceptual framework
- \* Ambiguity and conceptual missunderstanding
- \* Concepts still in process.